

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/726, 024 10/04/96 HENDERSON

D 317MH-23513

TM02/4109

Hill & Hamm LLP,
201 Main Street, Suite 1440
FORT WORTH TX 76102

EXAMINER

WEAVER, S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2645

17

DATE MAILED:

11/09/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/726,024	Applicant(s) Henderson
Examiner Scott L. Weaver	Group Art Unit 2645

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 2, 2000

This action is FINAL.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 54 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 54 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Part III DETAILED ACTION*Drawings*

1. The drawings are objected to for the reasons indicated on the PTO -Form 948. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. The following is quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention

3. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 54, on (ln.4) "the identity and the calling party" causes confusion in that it is not clear if this is intended to refer to 'the identity "of the" calling party'. On (ln.8-9) it is not clear what the communication initiating is "between", it is not clear if the communication is between the calling party, and the answering apparatus, or the calling party and the calling network. On (ln.11) reference to "without requiring entry..." causes confusion with respect to (ln.4) which suggests the caller identification information includes voice signals (though it is not clear from the description of the supposed figure 13 which is intended to provide support for such claim that any voice signal identificaiton is recorded), however, if the voice signals are supported and intended as per (ln.4) then it is not clear how there is no "entry" required from the calling party, unless this is intended to refer to the 'automatic passing' being without further entry.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claim 54, as best understood due to the confusion noted above, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Baehr et al. (#4,961,216).

Baehr clearly teaches (col.2,ln.58-col.3,ln.26; col.4,ln.7-12) to communicate information of the calling party to the called party using telephone network, telephone answering apparatus, and paging network wherein a called party is provided a pager in a paging network and which is used to receive caller identification information suggested as being automatically received via col.4,ln.7-12, for transmission to the pager through the telephone network and pager network to the pager from the telephone answering apparatus.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. **Any response to this final action should be mailed to:**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231**

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications)

Or:

**(703) 308-6296 (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")**

**Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott L. Weaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SLW
SCOTT L. WEAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 2645